ORIGINAL



MEMORANDUM

DOCKET CON

2012 OCT 15 PM 2 55

T

TO:

Docket Control

FROM:

Steve M. Olea

Director

Utilities Division

DATE:

October 15, 2012

RE:

STAFF'S RESPONSE TO WRITTEN COMMENTS IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING CONCERNING THE PROCESSING OF

COOPERATIVE UTILITY RATE CASES (DOCKET NO. RU-00000A-12-0270)

Attached is the Staff Report regarding written comments made by interested parties on Proposed Rulemaking Concerning the Processing of Cooperative Utility Rate Cases, pursuant to Decision No. 73287. Decision No. 73287 ordered the Utilities Division to file with the Commission's Docket Control on or before October 15, 2012, a document including (1) a summary of any written comments filed by interested persons between the effective date of that Decision (August 10, 2012) and October 9, 2012, and (2) the Utilities Division's responses to those comments.

SMO:BEK:sms

Originator: Barbara Keene

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETTE

OCT 15 2012

DOCKE (ED II)

SERVICE LIST FOR: PROPOSED RULEMAKING CONCERNING THE PROCESSING OF COOPERATIVE UTILITY RATE CASES

Docket No. RU-00000A-12-0270

Mr. Creden W. Huber
Sulphur Springs Valley Electric
Cooperative, Inc.
350 North Haskell
Willcox, Arizona 85643

Mr. Vincent Nitido Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 930 Marana, Arizona 85653-0930

Mr. Patrick F. Ledger Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 2165 Benson, Arizona 85602

Mr. John V. Wallace
Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative
Association, Inc.
2210 S. Priest Drive
Tempe, Arizona 85282-1109

Mr. C. Webb Crockett Fennemore Craig 3003 N. Central Ave., Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

Chris Martinez
Columbus Electric Cooperative, Inc.
P.O. Box 631
Deming, New Mexico 88031

LaDel Laub
Dixie-Escalante Rural Electric Association,
Inc.
HC 76 Box 95
Beryl, Utah 84714-5197

Mr. Michael Pearce Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 440 Duncan, Arizona 85534-0440

Mr. Carl R. Albrecht Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 465 Loa, Utah 84747

Mr. Steve Lines Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc. Graham County Utilities, Inc. P.O. Drawer B Pima, Arizona 85543

Mr. J. Tyler Carlson Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 1045 Bullhead City, Arizona 86430

Mr. Charles R. Moore Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. 1878 W. White Mountain Blvd. Lakeside, Arizona 85929

Mr. Michael Patten Mr. Jason D. Gellman Roshka, DeWulf & Patten PLC One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Mr. Michael A. Curtis Mr. William P. Sullivan Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Udall & Schwab PLC 501 E. Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205 Mr. Michael M. Grant Gallagher & Kennedy PA 2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225

Mr. Jeffrey W. Crockett Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck 1 E. Washington Street, Suite 2400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001

Mr. Russell E. Jones Waterfall, Economidis, Caldwell, Hanshaw & Villamana PC 5210 E. Williams Circle, Suite 800 Tucson Arizona 85711-7497

Mr. Charles C. Kretek Kretek Law Office, LLC Post Office Box 2641 Deming, New Mexico 88031-2641 Mr. Steven Olea Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Janice M. Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Lyn Farmer Chief, Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

STAFF REPORT UTILITIES DIVISION ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

PROPOSED RULEMAKING CONCERNING THE PROCESSING OF COOPERATIVE UTILITY RATE CASES

DOCKET NO. RU-00000A-12-0270

STAFF RESPONSE TO WRITTEN COMMENTS

STAFF ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Staff Response to Written Comments for Proposed Rulemaking Concerning the Processing of Cooperative Utility Rate Cases, Docket No. RU-00000A-12-0270, was the responsibility of the Staff member listed below.

Barbara Keene

Public Utilities Analyst Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF WRITTEN COMMENTS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED RUL RESPONSE TO THEM	

Staff Response to Written Comments Concerning the Processing of Cooperative Utility Rate Cases Docket No. RU-00000A-12-0270 Page 1

Introduction

The Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 73287 on August 10, 2012. In that Decision, the Commission ordered that a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking including proposed cooperative utility rate case rules be filed with the Office of the Secretary of State for publication. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the *Arizona Administrative Register* on September 7, 2012.

Decision No. 73287 requested that interested parties provide comments concerning the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by filing written comments with the Commission's Docket Control by October 9, 2012.

Decision No. 73287 also ordered the Utilities Division to file with the Commission's Docket Control on or before October 15, 2012, a document including (1) a summary of any initial written comments filed by interested persons between the effective date of that Decision (August 10, 2012) and October 9, 2012, and (2) the Utilities Division's responses to those comments.

Comments were received from nine consumers; Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. filing on behalf of the Cooperatives; and Arizona's G&T Cooperatives.

SUMMARY OF WRITTEN COMMENTS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED RULES AND STAFF'S RESPONSE TO THEM

Three consumers from Bullhead City expressed support for the proposed rules, with one of the consumers specifically mentioning the expedited rate-making process to reduce expenses and the rule provisions for member input and interaction. A member of Navopache Electric Cooperative also expressed support for the proposed rules because the rules could result in savings of time and expense to file a rate case.

A consumer from Pinetop Lakes expressed opposition to the proposed rules because the rules would speed up rate increases. Four members of Mohave Electric Cooperative also object to the proposed rulemaking, one of them specifically stating that six months is not enough time for the members to research, organize and voice opinions.

The Cooperatives support the proposed rulemaking because they estimate that the rules would save between 50 and 80 percent of the cost of the current rate case process and improve the financial condition of the Cooperatives as rate increases would be received sooner. The

Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc.; Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Graham County Utilities; Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Columbus Electric Cooperative; Dixie Escalante Rural Electric Association, Inc.; and Garkane Energy Cooperative, Inc.

Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. and Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc.

Staff Response to Written Comments Concerning the Processing of Cooperative Utility Rate Cases Docket No. RU-00000A-12-0270 Page 2

Cooperatives state that the rights of their members/customers would not change under the proposed rules. Members/customers would continue to receive notice regarding a proposed change in their rates, have the right to object to the proposed change, have the right to request intervention in the case, and the right to request a hearing before the Commission.

Arizona's G&T Cooperatives also support the proposed rules and state that the objective of the streamlined approach for review of cooperative rate cases is for "an efficient and meaningful process for Staff and Commission review of, and timely action on, cooperative financial information, coupled with effective notice and input opportunities for interested parties."

Staff believes that cooperative utilities meeting eligibility requirements should benefit from reduced legal and consulting costs from simpler rate filings and shorter processing time-frames. Consumers of cooperative utilities should benefit as cooperative utilities pass on the cost savings of the simplified process to their ratepayers. However, cooperative utilities may file rate cases more frequently.